

SELECTION CRITERIA FOR THE BEST PAPER

To be eligible for the election of the best paper of each topic it is essential that the written paper is submitted before the deadline via the website of the Annual Meeting of the Spanish Nuclear Society (SNE):

www.reunionanualsne.es

Papers exposed in poster format:

The best paper among all those presented in a poster format will be selected in the poster session. For this, the scores given by the congress delegates attending this session will be collected. Each attendee is entitled to vote once, through a ballot which will be delivered on-site.

Ponencias en modo de presentación oral:

- All presentations received before the deadline will be evaluated by:
 - **Chairman of the technical session** in which the paper is exposed.
 - **Technical Coordinator of the technical session** in which the paper is exposed.
 - **Member of the Technical Committee** responsible for the topic to which the paper is assigned.
- The winning presentation from each topic will be the one with the highest score of all papers presented in this topic, granting a single award whether the topic has one technical session or several.
- In the case of tie score between papers, the decision corresponds to the responsible member of the Technical Committee of the topic.
- Each evaluator will score the papers between 5 and 50 points so that the overall score of the paper is obtained as the sum of the scores given by the three evaluators. Therefore, the maximum score that a paper can get will be 150 points (sum of the maximum scores of the Chairman, Coordinator and responsible member of the Technical Committee of the topic).
- The assessment will be made by scoring from 1 to 10 (no decimals) and according to the following criteria and score rates:

CRITERIOS DE EVALUACIÓN

Criteria	Puntuación									
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
1. Objectives	Objectives undefined or unclear		Objectives defined although unclear			Clear objectives		Very well defined objectives		
2. Scientific or professional interest / originality	Lacking in interest, unoriginal or without contribution		Some scientific or professional interest. Not original, but with some contribution, such as providing complementary results to those of other studies			Interesting for its scientific quality, relevance or originality		Scientifically very interesting or great professional interest or very original and innovative		
3. Results and conclusions	Without results and conclusions		Partial or preliminary results, or unclear conclusions or not adjusted to the results			Global results, clear conclusions		Relevant results and clear conclusions consistent with results		
4. Communication	Exposition unclear or confusing, illegible or incomprehensible visual aids, body language and voice did not contribute to the transmission of the message		Exposition a bit confusing, visual aids with too much or little information, acceptable body language			Good level of exposition, appropriate visual aids, body language acceptable		Excellent, knows how to draw interest from the public. Appropriate selection of content, credible and convincing exposition, body language and speaking speed that reinforce the message		
5. Adjustment to time	Time is exceeded or abruptly cuts the presentation without proper closure		Speaks too fast or too slow, there is no good time control			Complies with time		Complies with time and adjusts the time available to the needs of each part of the presentation with coherency		